



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,277	07/30/2001	Ronen Ofek	2681/0J660	2409
25937	7590	07/14/2006	EXAMINER	
ZARETSKY & ASSOCIATES PC 8753 W. RUNION DR. PEORIA, AZ 85382-6412			PHAN, HANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

87

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,277	OFEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hanh Phan	2613	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hanh Phan. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Howard Zaretsky. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Azuma et al (US 6,430,150), Coan et al (US 5,093,824), Mueller (US 6,198,721).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Howard Zaretsky explained how the claimed invention differentiates from the Prior Arts applied (Azuma, Coan and Mueller). Upon the filing of the Official Amendment, further consideration will be given with an update search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required